

## Non-minimal coupling for spin 3/2 fields

Victor M. Villanueva

*Instituto de Física y Matemáticas  
Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo  
P.O. Box 2-82, Morelia Michoacán, México  
E-mail: vvillanu@ifm1.ifm.umich.mx*

Juan A. Nieto

*Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas  
Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, 80010, Culiacán Sinaloa, México  
E-mail: nieto@uas.uasnet.mx*

Octavio Obregón

*Instituto de Física, Universidad de Guanajuato  
P.O. Box E-143, 37150 León Guanajuato, México  
E-mail: octavio@ifug3.ugto.mx*

Recibido el 11 de septiembre de 2001; aceptado el 15 de enero de 2002

The problem of the electromagnetic coupling for spin 3/2 fields is discussed. Following supergravity and some recent works in the field of classical supersymmetric particles, we find that the electromagnetic coupling must not obey a minimal coupling in the sense that one needs to consider not only the electromagnetic potential but also the coupling of the electromagnetic field strength. This coupling coincides with the one found by Ferrara *et al* by requiring that the gyromagnetic ratio be 2. Coupling with non-Abelian Yang-Mills fields is also discussed.

*Keywords:* Rarita-Schwinger equation; non-minimal coupling; supergravity.

Discutimos el problema del acoplamiento electromagnético para campos de espín 3/2. Usando algunos resultados recientes de partículas supersimétricas clásicas, encontramos que el acoplamiento electromagnético debe ser no mínimo en el sentido de acoplarse no sólo al potencial electromagnético, sino también al tensor de campo electromagnético. Este tipo de acoplamiento coincide con el encontrado por Ferrara *et al.* al demandar que la razón giromagnética de cualquier partícula sea  $g = 2$ . Se discute también el acoplamiento con campos no abelianos del tipo Yang-Mills.

*Descriptores:* Ecuación de Rarita-Schwinger; acoplamiento no-mínimo; supergravedad.

PACS: 11.10.-z; 1480.-j; 11.10.Ef

### 1. Introduction

The standard treatment of the free massless spin 3/2 field is achieved by means of the Rarita-Schwinger (R-S) lagrangian [1]

$$\mathcal{L}_{RS} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\bar{\Psi}_\mu\gamma_5\gamma_\nu\partial_\rho\Psi_\sigma. \quad (1)$$

This lagrangian leads to the field equations

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma_5\gamma_\nu\partial_\rho\Psi_\sigma = 0. \quad (2)$$

It is however well known that the usual minimal electromagnetic coupling prescription for the Dirac field does not work adequately for this spin 3/2 field. In fact if one couples minimally this field with electromagnetism, then several physical inconsistencies arise of which the most remarkable is the appearance of superluminal speed for the particles [2].

By demanding that the scattering amplitudes for arbitrary spin particles should have a good high energy behaviour, Weinberg [3] showed that the gyromagnetic ratio should be  $g \sim 2$ . Following a consistent procedure for constructing the lagrangians for higher spin massive particles interacting with the electromagnetic field, Ferrara *et al* [4] also obtained a

gyromagnetic ratio  $g = 2$ . As a result, their equations of motion contain an extra dipole term that can be implemented at the tree level, thus modifying the usual minimal electromagnetic coupling. A very important feature of this extra dipole term is that, as shown by Ferrara *et al*, it avoids the physical inconsistencies for spin 3/2 particles described in Ref. 2.

On the other hand, two of the authors have constructed a theory of the classical supersymmetric spin 3/2 particle [5] in analogy with the classical supersymmetric spin 1/2 particle formalism developed by Galvao and Teitelboim [6].

In that article, it was shown that the Rarita-Schwinger equations in flat space-time are the square root of the full linearized Einstein field equations. This is not a consequence of the well known result in canonical supergravity [8], where it was shown that the supersymmetry constraint is the square root of the usual Hamiltonian constraint in canonical general relativity. This last procedure involves only some of the dynamical equations, in contrast with the relations found in Ref. 5 which relates the complete set of linearized Einstein field equations and Rarita-Schwinger field equations.

The result of the paper mentioned above shows that the Rarita-Schwinger equation is related with linearized gravity

as the Dirac equation is related to the Klein-Gordon equation. Thus, following this analogy and knowing how gravity couples with matter one would expect to be able to find out the way matter couples with the spin 3/2 field in flat space.

The last point is the main guide for this work, because in principle we can add a matter tensor on the right side of the linearized Einstein field equations and investigate its “square root” in a similar manner to that developed in Ref. 5. As a result of this procedure a modified R-S equation will arise. Obviously, this “square root” must include the terms of interaction with the matter fields, and these terms of interaction will give us the information for the coupling of spin 3/2 fields with any kind of matter, particularly electromagnetism or Yang-Mills fields. It is important to mention that this work is a refined version of Ref. 7.

This paper is organized as follows: in Sec. 2 we generalize the four indices differential operator representing the linearized general relativity equations [5] in order to include electromagnetism and non-abelian Yang-Mills fields. Based on the particular form of this extra matter term in the linearized Einstein field equations we search for the particular extra terms in the Rarita-Schwinger equation which when squared will produce the desired term. As a result, we find the interaction for the spin 3/2 field with electromagnetism and Yang-Mills fields. It is to be remarked that this modified R-S equation when squared does not reproduce only the desired extra term in the linearized gravity equations, but there appear extra terms. This is not surprising because the relationship between the R-S equation with interaction and the linearized Einstein field equations is similar to that existing between the Dirac equation with interaction and the Klein-Gordon equation, where the  $LS$  coupling term appears.

Our spin 3/2 field equation with interaction can be understood as a constraint in the classical supersymmetric spin 3/2 particle formulation whose squared gives another constraint which is a kind of generalized “hamiltonian”. In this case the linearized gravity equations with the four indices generalized matter tensor.

In some sense our R-S equations can be interpreted as supercharges generating the hamiltonian but does not correspond to a canonical formulation. On the other hand, supergravity is the theory that naturally incorporates in a consistent supersymmetrization procedure gravity, spin 3/2 fields and matter fields. We expect that by linearizing supergravity we will be able to reproduce the case without matter [5], which will correspond to Supergravity  $N=1$ . This is performed in Sec. 3.

In the next two sections we also linearize Supergravity  $N=2$  (Sec. 4) and  $N=4$  (Sec. 5). We show that the same kind of interaction found in section 2 for the electromagnetic field and the non-abelian Yang-Mills field respectively follow. However, in these cases there are correspondingly two and four spin 3/2 fields. In each of these linearized Supergravities ( $N=2, 4$ ), the interaction acts by mixing these R-S fields. The appearance of more spin 3/2 fields is directly related with the fact that in these last two cases we are

treating with an enlarged supersymmetry. Supergravity dictates, however, essentially the same interaction found by taking the “square root” of the generalized four indices hamiltonian containing the linearized Einstein field equations with matter.

In Sec. 3 we obtain from linearized Supergravity  $N = 1$  the Rarita-Schwinger equations as the square root of the linearized Einstein field equations. In section 4 by the same procedure we obtain from linearized Supergravity  $N = 2$  a non-minimal electromagnetic coupling for the spin 3/2 field. It is interesting to mention that this coupling coincides with the one found by Ferrara *et al.* Nevertheless we must mention that the price of using Supergravity  $N = 2$  is that we have now two spin 3/2 fields (the two gravitinos). In Sec. 5 we repeat once more the procedure outlined in section 3, but this time we apply it on linearized Supergravity  $N = 4$  in order to obtain a coupling with non-abelian Yang-Mills fields. In this point we apply the formalism over four spin 3/2 fields (the four gravitinos).

## 2. Electromagnetic and Yang-Mills generalized energy momentum tensors

As mentioned in the introduction, we have on one hand the linearized Einstein field equations and on the other hand, we have the Rarita-Schwinger equations as their square root. Thus it is natural to think that we can put an interaction for these Einstein field equations and obtain its square root in order to investigate the possible coupling of the spin 3/2 field with matter fields.

It has been shown in ref. [5] that if one associates to the R-S equation the classical constraint

$$\mathcal{S}^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \theta_\rho P_\sigma = 0 \quad , \quad (3)$$

then one has

$$\{\mathcal{S}_\mu^\alpha, \mathcal{S}_\nu^\beta\} = \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\rho\sigma} \epsilon_\nu^{\beta\lambda\gamma} \eta_{\rho\lambda} P_\sigma P_\gamma \quad , \quad (5)$$

is the “Hamiltonian” operator that acts over  $h_{\alpha\beta}$  in standard linearized gravity, *i. e.*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad . \quad (6)$$

We first note that in Eq. (5) the momenta  $P_\sigma$  appear quadratically. Now we want to introduce the potential term in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}$ , obviously, this must also be a four indices tensor  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}$ , it should also have units of energy (same as  $P_\sigma^2$ ) and it should be possible to take its square root in terms of the fields characterizing the matter under consideration.

In particular for the electromagnetic case, the most natural “potential” ought to be constructed as some square of the field  $F_{\mu\nu}$ . The mathematical structure of Eq. (5) suggests us to accompany the  $F^2$  term by two Levi-Civita tensors, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \sim \epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\rho\sigma} \epsilon_\nu^{\beta\lambda\gamma} (F_{\rho\sigma} F_{\lambda\gamma} + \Lambda \tilde{F}_{\rho\sigma} F_{\lambda\gamma} + \kappa \tilde{F}_{\rho\sigma} \tilde{F}_{\lambda\gamma}) \quad . \quad (7)$$

where  $\Lambda$  and  $\kappa$  are constants and  $\tilde{F}_{\rho\sigma}$  is the dual of  $F_{\rho\sigma}$ .

In order to achieve the square root of the ‘‘hamiltonian’’, Eq. (5) plus the ‘‘potential’’, Eq. (7) we, first notice that the desired interaction term in the R-S constraint, Eq. (3), must alone give us when squared, the ‘‘potential’’ term  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}$ . Thus, the most general construction one can propose is a linear combination of  $F_{\rho\sigma}$  plus its dual. Nevertheless Dirac matrices must be introduced in the linear combination of the fields  $F_{\rho\sigma}$  and its dual since we are applying these constraints over four components spinors.

Then the desired interaction term in the R-S constraint is of the form

$$F^{\mu\nu} + \kappa\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma} , \quad (8)$$

where now  $\kappa$  is a Dirac matrix or a product of Dirac matrices.

A natural generalization of this result to the case of non-abelian Yang-Mills would be the tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \sim \epsilon_{\mu}^{\alpha\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{\nu}^{\beta\lambda\gamma} (F_{\rho\sigma}^a F_{\lambda\gamma}^a + \Lambda \tilde{F}_{\rho\sigma}^a F_{\lambda\gamma}^a + \kappa \tilde{F}_{\rho\sigma}^a \tilde{F}_{\lambda\gamma}^a) , \quad (9)$$

where  $F_{\rho\sigma}^a$  is the Yang-Mills field tensor, and the corresponding interaction will be also of the form (3) with appropriate indices.

### 3. Free massless spin 3/2 field

In this section we will review the calculations of the main result of Ref. 5. The reasons of doing so are just pedagogical.

The lagrangian for Supergravity  $N = 1$  [9] is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{e}{2}\mathcal{R} - \frac{e}{2}\bar{\Psi}_{\mu}\Gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma}D_{\rho}\Psi_{\sigma} , \quad (10)$$

where  $e$  is the determinant of the tetrad,  $\mathcal{R}$  is the generalized curvature,  $\Psi_{\mu}$  is the gravitino field (spin 3/2), and  $\Gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma_5\gamma_{\nu}$ .

Once more the equations of the motion for the gravitino field are found to be

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma_5\gamma_{\nu}\partial_{\rho}\Psi_{\sigma} = 0 , \quad (11)$$

we can associate to Eq. (11) the classical constraint

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\theta_{\rho}P_{\sigma} = 0 , \quad (12)$$

where  $\theta_{\rho} = (1/\sqrt{2})\gamma_5\gamma_{\rho}$ ,  $\theta_5 = (1/\sqrt{2})\gamma_5$  are the classical limit of the gamma matrices of Dirac and the operator  $P_{\sigma} = -i\partial_{\sigma}$  ( $\hbar = 1$ ).

Considering that the only nonvanishing Poisson brackets [5] between these variables are

$$\{\theta_{\mu}, \theta_{\nu}\} = \eta_{\mu\nu} , \quad (13)$$

$$\{\theta_5, \theta_5\} = 1 , \quad (14)$$

$$\{x_{\mu}, P_{\nu}\} = i\eta_{\mu\nu} , \quad (15)$$

we get the algebra

$$\{\mathcal{S}_{\mu}^{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}_{\nu}^{\beta}\} = \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} , \quad (16)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\mu}^{\alpha\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{\nu}^{\beta\lambda\gamma} \eta_{\rho\lambda} P_{\sigma} P_{\gamma} , \quad (17)$$

is the ‘‘Hamiltonian’’ operator that acts over  $h_{\alpha\beta}$  in standard linearized gravity, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} = 0 . \quad (18)$$

As claimed in the preceding section, the Rarita-Schwinger equations turn out to be the square root of the linearized Einstein field equations. Obviously, we have no contribution of any other matter field, since we have no interaction at all. Nevertheless this result will be the guide to investigate the coupling of Rarita-Schwinger fields, in principle, with any kind of matter as will be developed in the next sections.

### 4. Electromagnetic interaction of spin 3/2 fields

In order to investigate the electromagnetic coupling of spin 3/2 fields we use the resource of Supergravity  $N = 2$  [9], since it naturally incorporates the graviton, the electromagnetic field and two gravitinos.

The lagrangian for Supergravity  $N = 2$  is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{e}{2}\mathcal{R} - \frac{e}{2}\bar{\Psi}_{\mu}^i\Gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma}D_{\rho}\Psi_{\sigma}^i - \frac{e}{4}F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\kappa}{4\sqrt{2}}\bar{\Psi}_{\mu}^i[e(F^{\mu\nu} + \hat{F}^{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_5(\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\hat{F}}^{\mu\nu})]\bar{\Psi}_{\nu}^j\epsilon^{ij} , \quad (19)$$

where  $e$  is the determinant of the metric,  $\mathcal{R}$  is the curvature,  $\Gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma} = \epsilon^{\mu\lambda\rho\sigma}\gamma_5\gamma_{\lambda}$ ,  $D_{\rho} = \partial_{\rho} + (1/2)\omega_{\rho}^{mn}\sigma_{mn}$ , is the derivative including the spin connection,  $\omega_{\rho}^{mn} = (1/4)[\gamma_m, \gamma_n]$ ,  $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}$ , and

$$\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} - \frac{\kappa}{2\sqrt{2}}[\bar{\Psi}_{\mu}^i\Psi_{\nu}^j - \bar{\Psi}_{\nu}^i\Psi_{\mu}^j]\epsilon^{ij} , \quad (20)$$

is the supercovariant curl.

By performing variations with respect to  $\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha}^l$  in the action, we obtain the equations of the motion for the gravitinos as:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{e}{2}\Gamma^{\alpha\rho\beta}D_{\rho}\Psi_{\beta}^l + \frac{\kappa}{4\sqrt{2}}\{[e(2F^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\kappa}{2\sqrt{2}}(\bar{\Psi}^{i\alpha}\Psi^{j\beta} \\ & - \bar{\Psi}^{i\beta}\Psi^{j\alpha})\epsilon^{ij}) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_5\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}(2F_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{\kappa}{2\sqrt{2}}(\bar{\Psi}_{\rho}^i\Psi_{\sigma}^j \\ & - \bar{\Psi}_{\sigma}^i\Psi_{\rho}^j)\epsilon^{ij})]\Psi_{\beta}^k\epsilon^{lk} - \frac{\kappa}{2\sqrt{2}}\bar{\Psi}^{k\beta}[e(\delta^{\alpha\beta}\Psi^{j\gamma} - \delta^{\alpha\gamma}\Psi^{j\beta}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_5\epsilon^{\beta\gamma\rho\sigma}(\delta_{\rho}^{\alpha}\Psi_{\sigma}^j - \delta_{\sigma}^{\alpha}\Psi_{\rho}^j)]\Psi_{\gamma}^h\epsilon^{lj}\epsilon^{hk}\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Linearizing the above equation by eliminating gravitational interactions and neglecting terms of the order  $\Psi^3$ , the field equations reduce to

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\beta}\gamma_5\gamma_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\Psi_{\beta}^i - \frac{\kappa\epsilon^{ij}}{\sqrt{2}}[F^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_5\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}]\Psi_{\beta}^j = 0, \quad (22)$$

notice that the term in squared brackets  $F^{+\alpha\beta} = F^{\alpha\beta} + (1/2)\gamma_5\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}$  is precisely the dipole term found by Ferrara *et al.* [4]. In their article they have shown that this term cancels divergences and avoids superluminal velocities in systems of spin 3/2 particles.

Equation (21) can be shown to be the generalized Rarita-Schwinger equation

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}[\delta_{ij}\theta_\mu P_\nu + i\epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}]\Psi_\beta^j = 0, \quad (23)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = (\kappa/\sqrt{8})[(1/\sqrt{8})\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} + \theta_5 F_{\mu\nu}]$  is a “rotation” of the dipole term  $F^{+\mu\nu}$ . Obviously, the solutions of these equations are the quasiclassical ones, and it becomes clear that as a consequence of supergravity, the solutions of our equation must not have physical inconsistencies, such as superluminal motion [2]. Thus, we can associate to Eq. (23) the constraint

$$S_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}[\delta_{ij}\theta_\mu P_\nu + i\epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}] = 0, \quad (24)$$

and by using the Poisson brackets of Sec. 3, we get the algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \{S_\mu^{\alpha ij}, S_\nu^{\beta kl}\} &= \epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\rho\sigma}\epsilon_\nu^{\beta\lambda\gamma} \\ &\times [\eta_{\rho\lambda}P_\sigma P_\gamma \delta^{ij}\delta^{lk} - \frac{\kappa^2}{8}F_{\rho\sigma}F_{\lambda\gamma}\epsilon^{ij}\epsilon^{lk} \\ &+ i(\theta_\rho\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\gamma,\sigma}\delta^{ij}\epsilon^{lk} + \theta_\lambda\mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma,\gamma}\epsilon^{ij}\delta^{lk})]. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The first term in the last equation is the Hamiltonian for linearized gravity discussed before

$$\epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\rho\sigma}\epsilon_\nu^{\beta\lambda\gamma}\eta_{\rho\lambda}P_\sigma P_\gamma \delta^{ij}\delta^{lk} = \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}\delta^{ij}\delta^{lk}, \quad (26)$$

the second of these terms is the generalized energy momentum for the electromagnetic field announced in Eq. (7), that is

$$\frac{\kappa^2}{8}\epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\rho\sigma}\epsilon_\nu^{\beta\lambda\gamma}F_{\rho\sigma}F_{\lambda\gamma}\epsilon^{ij}\epsilon^{lk} = \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{ij}\epsilon^{lk}, \quad (27)$$

where this tensor has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{\kappa^2}{8}[2\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\alpha\beta}F_{\rho\sigma}F^{\rho\sigma} - 2\delta_\nu^\alpha\delta_\mu^\beta F_{\rho\sigma}F^{\rho\sigma} \\ &- 2F_\mu^\alpha F_\nu^\beta + 4\eta^{\alpha\beta}F_{\mu\rho}F_\nu^\rho + 4\eta_{\mu\nu}F^{\alpha\rho}F_\rho^\beta \\ &- 4\delta_\nu^\alpha F_{\mu\rho}F^{\rho\beta} + 4\delta_\mu^\beta F^{\alpha\rho}F_{\nu\rho}]. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The third term gives an electromagnetic interaction for the gravitinos, this term is

$$\epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\rho\sigma}\epsilon_\nu^{\beta\lambda\gamma}(\theta_\rho\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\gamma,\sigma}\delta^{ij}\epsilon^{lk} + \theta_\lambda\mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma,\gamma}\epsilon^{ij}\delta^{lk}). \quad (29)$$

This term contains a coupling between the gradient of the electromagnetic tensor field  $\mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma,\gamma}$  and the spin tensor  $S_{\mu\nu} = i\theta_\mu\theta_\nu$ . It is interesting to comment that  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}$  may be understood only as part of a total energy momentum tensor that contains now also  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}$ . In this way, our model predicts a coupling between gravity, the gradient of the electromagnetic field tensor and the spin tensor, thus the nonminimal coupling for spin 3/2 fields is now given by (23).

## 5. Yang-Mills field interaction of spin 3/2 fields

Now we are in a position to explore the possibility that a Yang-Mills field be coupled to a Rarita-Schwinger field. The simplest supergravity model that involves a non-Abelian Yang-Mills field is Supergravity  $N = 4$  [9].

In the philosophy of the preceding calculations, we can associate a classical constraint to the field equation for the gravitinos. It turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ij}\theta^\mu P^\nu - \frac{\kappa}{2\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{F}_{(ij)}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta_5\epsilon_{\rho\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{F}_{(ij)}^{\rho\sigma}) \\ &- \frac{\sqrt{2}\delta_{ij}}{4\kappa}(e_A + \sqrt{2}ie_B\theta_5)\sigma^{\alpha\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where now the contribution of the non-Abelian field is given

$$\mathcal{F}_{(ij)}^{\rho\sigma} = \alpha_{(ij)}^k A_k^{\rho\sigma} + \sqrt{2}i\theta_5\beta_{(ij)}^k B_k^{\rho\sigma}, \quad (31)$$

and

$$A_{\rho\sigma}^k = \partial_\rho A_\sigma^k - \partial_\sigma A_\rho^k + e_A\epsilon^{ijk}A_\rho^i A_\sigma^j, \quad (32)$$

$$B_{\rho\sigma}^k = \partial_\rho B_\sigma^k - \partial_\sigma B_\rho^k + e_B\epsilon^{ijk}B_\rho^i B_\sigma^j, \quad (33)$$

are the non-Abelian Yang-Mills fields. In Eq. (31) the alpha's and beta's are matrices that generate the  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  symmetry of Supergravity  $N = 4$ .

By using the Poisson brackets of the preceding section, we get the algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \{S_{\mu ij}^\alpha, S_{\nu kl}^\beta\} &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\rho\sigma}\epsilon_\nu^{\beta\lambda\gamma}[\eta_{\rho\lambda}P_\sigma P_\gamma \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \frac{\kappa^2}{4}\mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma(ij)}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\gamma(kl)}] - \frac{i\kappa}{4}[\epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\rho\sigma}\delta_{ij}\theta_\rho(\mathcal{F}_{\nu,\sigma(kl)}^\beta - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta_5\epsilon_\nu^{\beta\lambda\gamma}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\gamma,\sigma(kl)}) \\ &- \epsilon_\nu^{\beta\lambda\gamma}\delta_{kl}\theta_\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{\mu,\gamma(ij)}^\alpha - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta_5\epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\rho\sigma}\mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma,\gamma(ij)})] + \frac{e_B}{8}[\epsilon_\mu^{\alpha\rho\sigma}\sigma_\nu^\beta\delta_{kl}\mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma(ij)} + \epsilon_\nu^{\beta\lambda\gamma}\sigma_\mu^\alpha\delta_{ij}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\gamma(kl)}] \\ &+ \frac{1}{8\kappa^2}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\left[e_B^2(\theta_\nu\theta^\beta - \theta^\beta\theta_\nu)(\theta_\mu\theta^\alpha - \theta^\alpha\theta_\mu) \right. \\ &\left. + (\frac{1}{2}(e_A^2 - e_B^2) + 2\sqrt{2}ie_A e_B\theta_5)(\eta^{\alpha\beta}\theta_\mu\theta_\nu - \eta_{\mu\nu}\theta^\beta\theta^\alpha + \delta_\nu^\alpha\theta_\mu\theta^\beta - \delta_\mu^\beta\theta_\nu\theta^\alpha)\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Once more, we can identify terms and the first of them is exactly the same tensor found in ref. [5], it give us the linearized operator for the Einstein field equations. That is

$$\epsilon_{\mu}^{\alpha\rho\sigma}\epsilon_{\nu}^{\beta\lambda\gamma}\eta_{\rho\lambda}P_{\sigma}P_{\gamma}\delta_{ij}\delta_{lk} = \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ij}\delta_{lk} , \quad (35)$$

The second term is the analogous of the generalized electromagnetic field energy momentum tensor, that in this case is a non-Abelian gauge field energy momentum tensor.

$$\epsilon_{\mu}^{\alpha\rho\sigma}\epsilon_{\nu}^{\beta\lambda\gamma}\mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma(ij)}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\gamma(kl)} = \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta(ijkl)} . \quad (36)$$

The following terms contain couplings of the spin tensor  $S_{\mu\nu} = i\theta_{\mu}\theta_{\nu}$  and to the gradient of the non-Abelian field tensor  $\mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma(ij)}$ .

Thus we have obtained a nonminimal coupling once more for spin 3/2 particles. Moreover the coupling obtained generalizes that of the dipole term found by Ferrara *et al.*

## 6. Conclusions

We have discussed the problem of the non-minimal coupling for the Rarita-Schwinger fields, and the attempts of Weinberg and Ferrara *et al.* to solve the problem by demanding  $g = 2$  for arbitrary spin particles. As a result, they have obtained an extra dipole term in the equations for these fields. This dipole term avoids the bad energy behavior of the particles and the physical inconsistencies discussed in Ref. 2.

By using the fact that the Rarita-Schwinger field equations are the square root of the linearized Einstein field equations as a guide, we have implemented energy momentum tensors for electromagnetic and non-abelian Yang-Mills fields. After that, linearized Supergravity  $N = 2$  was used as a tool that provided us with field equations for the Rarita-Schwinger fields. Surprisingly, by squaring the constraints

associated to these equations we obtained an energy momentum equal to that announced in section 2. Moreover, we obtained for the fields a non-minimal coupling consisting of a “rotation” of the dipole term found by Ferrara *et al.*

A similar analysis for non-abelian Yang-Mills fields was developed by using Supergravity  $N = 4$ . Thus obtaining a similar energy momentum tensor to that claimed in section 2. The coupling term in this case has a similar structure to that of the electromagnetic case. It consist of terms of the type

$$Field + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 Dual Field.$$

It is interesting to mention that a term of similar structure was implemented by Cucchieri, Porrati and Deser [10] by studying the gravitational coupling of higher spin fields, where the *Field* of the above expression is the Riemman tensor. Due to supersymmetry (specially for the coupling with non-Abelian gauge fields) it may be expected that such a term also avoids superluminal velocities, but this is an issue that requires a more careful discussion.

Further developments of this formalism are being considered. For instance the massive spin 3/2 particle interacting with electromagnetic and Yang-Mills fields [11]. Another interesting issue is the quantization and possible phenomenological implications of the theory [12].

## Acknowledgments

This work has been partially supported by CONACyT through the projects: 28454-E, I-32819-E, University of Michoacan through the project CIC 4.14 and University of Sinaloa.

- 
1. W. Rarita and J. Schwinger *Phys. Rev.* **60** (1941) 61; J. Leite Lopes, D. Speeeler and N. Fleury *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **35** (1982) 60.
  2. G. Velo and D. Zwanziger *Phys. Rev* **186** (1969) 25.
  3. S. Weinberg, in *Lectures on Elementary Particles and Quantum Field Theory*, Proceedings of the Summer Institute, Brandeis University, 1970, edited by S. Deser (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1970), Vol. I.
  4. S. Ferrara, M. Porrati and V. L. Telegdi *Phys. Rev. D* **46** (1992) 3529.
  5. J. A. Nieto and O. Obregón *Phys. Lett. A* **175** (1993) 11.
  6. C. Galvao and C. Teitelboim *J. Math. Phys.* **21** (1980) 1863.
  7. J. A. Nieto, O. Obregón, V. M. Villanueva, *Workshops on Particles and Fields and Phenomenology of Fundamental Interactions*, Puebla, México, p. 504, Eds. J. C. D’Olivo, A. Fernandez, M. A. Pérez, (1995), American Institute of Physics, J. A. Nieto, O. Obregón, V. M. Villanueva, *Proceedings of the First Mexican School on Gravitation and Mathematical Physics*, p. 173, Eds. A. Macías, T. Matos, O. Obregón and H. Quevedo, (1993), World Scientific and hep-th/9507095.
  8. C. Teitelboim *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38** (1977) 1106; R. Tabenski and C. Teitelboim *Phys. Lett.* **69B** (1977) 453.
  9. P. van Nieuwenhuizen *Physics Reports* **68** No. 4 (1981) 189 (North-Holland Publishing Company).
  10. A. Cucchieri, M. Porrati and S. Deser, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (1995) 4543
  11. S. Deser, V. Pascalutsa, A. Waldron *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 105031. hep-th/0003011.
  12. V. Pascalutsa, *Phys. Rev. D* **58** (1998) 09600. hep-ph/9802288.